INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

Bangalore regional office

Mine file No: KNT/CTD/LST/17/BNG Mine code: 38KAR07018

(i) Name of the Inspecting : P005) C.PARAMESHWARAN

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Deputy Controller Mines

(iii) Accompaning mine : S/SHRI. PRAVEEN & SARAVANAN, Geologist & manager

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 07/05/2016
(v) Prev.inspection date : 13/06/2014

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

L. (a) Mine Name : KANCHIPURA (ML 1839)

(b) Registration NO: :

(c) Category : A Fully Mechanised

(d) Type of Working : Opencast

(e) Postal address

State : KARNATAKA

District : CHITRADURGA

Village : KANCHIPURA

Taluka : HOSADURGA

Post office : KANCHIPURA

Pin Code

FAX No. : 08199-82044

E-mail : mclmtd@sancharnet.in

Phone : 08199- 240314

(f) Police Station : SRIRAMPUR
(g) First opening date : 27/01/2003

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

2. Address for : MADRAS CEMENTS LTD

correspondance AURA'S CORPORATE CENTRE, DR RADHA KRISHNAN ROAD,

MYLAPUR, CHENNAI-600004

3. (a) Lease Number : KAR1092 (b) Lease area : 48.36 (c) Period of lease : 20

(d) Date of Expiry : 17/01/2003

4. Mineral worked : DOLOMITE Associated

LIMESTONE Main

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : MADRAS CEMENTS LTD

NO.98-A, DR. RADHAKRISHNA SALAI, MYLAPUR, CHENNAI

CITY TAMIL NADU

Phone: 08199-82033,82039,044-8555815

FAX :08199-82044

Owner : MADRAS CEMENTS LTD

MATHOD WORKS MATHOD, HOSADURGA CHITRADURGA-CHITRADURGA KARNATAKA Phone: 08199-82033,820 FAX: 08199-82044

Agent : K.GOWRAPPAN

MADRAS CEMENTS LTD, AURAS

CORPORATE CENTRE, DR RADHAKRISHNAN RD MYLAPORE CHENAI TUMKUR KARNATAKA

Phone: FAX:

Geologist

Name : PRAVEEN KULKARNI, Full Time

Qualification : M.SC GEO

Appointment/:
Termination date

Manager

Name : J.MANJUNATH

Qualification : BE MIN

Appointment/ :
Termination date

6. Date of approval of Mining : Renewal under rule 22 MCR1960 02/12/2002 Plan/Scheme of Mining Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 28/05/2007 Modif.of approved Mining Plan 01/05/2012

Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 11/09/2012

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
1a	Backlog of previous year	the lessee company proposed for 8 bore holes for both the years. 4 nos. each for 2012-13 & 2013-14.	it is mentioned in the data base report that the lessee company drilled 25 nos. of bore holes in 100m grid intervals.	though violation was issued and party replied with details of the exploration.
1b	Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2	for Gl axis.	27.00 ha area covered under G1 axis.	The area is covered to proving the area for mineralization.
1c	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	Not proposed for the current year.	not applicable, since the lessee already undertaken in the previous year.	no cost factor indicated.
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	Not proposed.	nil	nil
1e	Balance reserve as on 01/04/20	NA	17.23 Million tonnes.	As per the last approved document as on 1/4/2015
1f	General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc	The deposit is of vein type and dipping downwards	The vein type deposit is explored and operating intermittently.	For the presently, no exploration proposals drawn.

Development :

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	Location of development w.r.t.lease area	N-530-1075 and E-775 to 965	nil due to forest road problem for transporting.	Lessee company utilised public road for some time to transport 3157.430tonnes.
2b	Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15)	separate benches in top	In OB-west side- 3benches, east-side-2 benches & not in top soil.	It was observed that the mine workings are undertaken below the surface level with 3-4 benches, hence no top soil.
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	1:2.5	Nil reported, since no production during that period.	not produced from the mine.

2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	nil	nil	nil
2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	178484.8 m3.	nil	nil, since, no development & production undertaken.
2f	General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc	yes proposed in the approved documents.	not undertaken as such due to forest land problem for transporting.	as above.

Exploitation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pit proposed for production	one pit only	as above	yes one only.
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	178124t	nil	nil
3с	Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production	-	nil due to non production.	nil
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	30%	nil	nil
3e	Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.	-43%Cao,	-43%Cao, +43%CAO considered as threshold value as cement grade.	Though threshold value not declared, but it is reported as cement grade.
3f	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	no proposal	nil	nil
3g	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	no proposal	nil	nil
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	mechanised method	mechanised only and not manual, on the day of inspection, mine was not operated.	nil

3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and	not proposed	nil	nil
3j	rejects. Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches	yes proposed for drilling & blasting, Atlascopco, 115mm diameter, XAH- 210, 10kgf.	same is being used during the mining operation.	nil, now no mining operation.
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	yes proposed for machine mining.	using Excavator & tippers for excavation and loading.	during the production time, these machineries are in use.
31	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	6m height, 20m width	yes same is maintained in working benches.	proposed bench height & width are suitable.
3m	Total area covered under excavation/pits	15.83 ha	14.25на.	as above.
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year.	1:2.5	as above	nil
30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	32.40 ha	29.83 ha	as above.
3p	Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable		2011-12=119,000t , 2012- 13=77022t , 2013- 14=103,080t ,2014- 15=26750t , & 2015- 16=0.0t	nil
3q	General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc.	yes proposed for systematic mining.	yes the method of mining operation is systematic as per the approved documents.	hence no violation observed on method of mining.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No. Item Propasals Actual work Remarks

4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33)		Yes only for OB & not for MR. During the year there is no generation of MR/OB or TS.	as above.
4b	Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps	N-943-1424 to E-991 to 1112	No mining operation during the year & hence no generation of any materials.	nil
4c	Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area	one within the ML area & Nil outside the ML area.	as above.	same as above.
4d	Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	outside the UPL	yes it is done outside the UPL as per the Plan.	as above.
4e	Number of active and alive dumps.		yes one active and alive waste dump in the Ml area.	as above
4f	Number of dead dumps.	one number only	one only.	as above.
4g	Number of dumps established.	one dump is stabilized.	one only is stabilized.	nil.
4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there.	yes proposed	it is being carried out with retaining wall & also with bund.	nil
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps	150m x 1.5m x 2m	150m x 1.5m x 2m	as above.
4j	Number of settling ponds	one settling pond	one only	yes as above
4k	Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management	yes proposed in the approved documents.	yes it is being carried out in the ML area in two location.	yes as above.

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	NO proposals made	not matured for back filling.	The mine is in the initial stage, not yet matured for proposals.

5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	nil	nil	nil
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)	nil	nil	nil
5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	nil	nil	nil
5e	of inspecting	for any back filling as	so no work as such has been undertaken in the ML area.	nil

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
6a	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).		yes the lessee company not submitted on time, mostly appropriately.	nil
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	no proposals	nil	nil
6с	afforestation done (ha).	il	nil	nil
6d	No. of saplings planted during the year	nil	nil	nil
бе	Cumulative no .of plants	nil	nil	nil
6f	Any other method of rehabilitation	nil	nil	nil
6g	Cost incurred on watch and care during the year	nil	nil	nil
6h	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D	nil	nil	nil

6i	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings	nil	nil	nil
6j	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii) Afforestati on on backfilled area	nil	nil	nil
6k	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir	nil	nil	nil
61	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means.	nil	nil	nil
6m	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation	1360 saplings	300 saplings	on the peripherial area in eastern side is already covered by plantation.
6n	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha)	0.85 ha area	0.25 ha area	as above
60	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation	afforestation	afforestation	yes only through afforsetation
6p	Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone)	yes proposed, for water quality, noise level, ground vibration	Yes undertaken water quality, noise level, ground vibration and also the blast	found to be in normal within the range.

General remarks yes proposed work is under progress in whole the of inspecting in the as per the proposals. mining operation officers on PMCP approved compliance and documents. progressive closure operations etc.

Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	178124t	nil production, dispatch=3157.43t.	as above.
7b	Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical.	mechanical	mechanical only.	no operation on the day of visit.
7c	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines.	no proposals.	nil	all the materials of cement grade.
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines .	nil	nil	nil
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues	yes proposals made in the approved documents.	but during the visit of the mine, the mining reveals non operational. Otherwise,	Otherwise, the mineral conservation point is satisfactory. No beneficiation as on date.

Environment:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)		nil	nil
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	not applicable.	NA	Nil

8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	Dumping is roposed for waste dump and not for top soil & MR.	as above, only for OB waste.	yes as above
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	no proposal as such in the approved documents.	yes no such activities.	nil.
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	no proposals.	not yet matured.	nil
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)	yes proposals made	since 2001-02 to 2015- 16, 12930 nos., of saplings were planted in 6.20 ha. along the roads, waste dump & periphery.	The lessee company undertaken as above.
8g	Survival rate	not proposed	75%	as above
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	for water	yes followed during the mining mining operations.	yes it is followed in the mine.
8i	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area	yes proposed.	The aesthetic beauty in and around the mine is being taken care.	yes lessee compny followed.

Compliance of Rule 45:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns	submitted MR & AR upto 2014- 15 and the 2015-16 is	yes the information furnished in the returns are found to be alright and the information furnished incorrectly were pointed through violation.	violation issued and the party replied.

9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	K. saravanan- manager & Mining engineer. Praveen Kulkarni- Geologist.	yes they were preseent in the mine and working for the company.	yes there are continued.
9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.	for	the above information was found to be incorrect and hence violation issued.	violation issued.
9d	Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation	1000 nos of plantation done during the year with 70% survival.	as above observed in the mine.	yes observed in the mine.
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity)	not reported as such	no production during the year 2015-16 & hence no MR and no grade & quantity.	yes nil production
9f	Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore	Opening stock	as per the return 2014- 15, 48095.312 t as Opening stock & the production is 26750t & closing stock is 32711t.	for the year 2015-16, it is given 0.0t production and the dispatch shown as 3157.43t.
9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost		Though the quantity is given without sale value, since the lessee company comes under captive mines.	nil
9h	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets		The above cost are pertains to the land, industrial & residential building, plant machineries.	given satisfactorily.

9k Scrutiny of Annual return on drill-1, air mining machineries

Blast hole compressor-1, shovel(hydraulic)-1, tipper-4 & wheel loader-

all the machines were in $\;$ it was observed in the mine premises for usesage, whenever required.

the mine.

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out $\frac{1}{2}$

Viola	tion observed	Show couse position	
Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on	Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on
Rule 13(1)	13/06/2016		
Rule 45(1)	13/06/2016		
Rule 24	13/06/2016		

Date : (C.PARAMESHWARAN)

Indian Bureau of Mines